## EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Transcript of the meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District had on the 21st day of

August, 2013, at 5:00 p.m., at the East Chicago City Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

## APPEARANCES:

Indiana.

MR. JOHN FEKETE, Board President

MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member

MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Board Member

MR. ERNEST JONES, Board Member

MR. RAY LOPEZ, Board Member

MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, Executive Director

MS. ELLEN GREGORY, Board Attorney

MR. MIKE NGUYEN, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

MR. DAVID ALONZO, BMO Harris Bank

MR. DENNIS BANAS, Praxis Strategies and Solutions

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRANSCRIBED BY: Mary L. Slafindor

SLAFINDOR COURT REPORTING 315 South Court Street Crown Point, IN 46307 Telephone: 219-662-4060

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to
2	order the meeting of the East Chicago Waterway
3	Management District Board. It's Wednesday, August 21st,
4	and the time is 5:00 p.m.
5	First order of business is the roll
6	call. Ray Lopez?
7	MR. LOPEZ: Here.
8	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
9	MR. BAKOTA: Here.
10	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert? Henry
11	Rodriguez?
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.
13	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
14	MR. JONES: Here.
15	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here.
16	Rich Gomez? We do have a quorum.
17	Consulting engineering report, Mr.
18	Trevino.
19	MR. TREVINO: Yes, I'd like to start
20	off by going over the contents of your board packet.
21	You have the minutes for the July 17th, 2013 board
22	meeting.
23	You have a letter dated August 5th,
24	2013 from myself to Mayor Copeland regarding Indy
25	Boulevard parkway upkeep along the CDF.
- 11	

You have in your packet financial statements for July 31st, 2013 from Clifton, Larson, Allen, and the claim docket dated August 21st, 2013 for approval consideration.

You have in your packet Citizens

Bank statement for account ending in 608 and 616, both dated 7-31-2013.

You have in your packet the ECI Facility Trust Report dated August 19th, 2013, from BMO Harris Bank. You have in your packet account statement for BMO Harris Bank ending in 712 for the month of July, 2013. And also BMO Harris brokerage account statement for account ending in 534, also for the month of July, 2013.

Also, you have a booklet and a flyer. The booklet's titled The Grand Calumet River Revitalization, and the flyer is an EPA titled Grand Calumet River Sediment Remediation and Restoration Project, dated July, 2013. These were handouts from the IDEM and EPA public meeting that was held July 23rd at the East Chicago library.

You have in your packet an Arcadis report dated July 15th, 2013, for the month of March.

And you have 2 additional reports, 1 for the month of April, the other for the month of May. And both reports

are dated August 19th, 2013.

You have in your packet Department of the Army memo dated July 16th, 2013, regarding monthly monitoring report for the wastewater treatment plant NPDES permit. And it's for June, 2013. And another correspondence from the Army dated July 31st, 2013, regarding their change of address.

You have the Army Corps report to the board dated August 21st, 2013. And Attorney Ellen Gregory's report dated August 21st, 2013.

That concludes the items in your board packet. To go over a couple of items, regarding user fees. At the last board meeting, the board approved and MOU with the attorney general's office. We have forwarded the agreement to them for counter signatures, and we're waiting from them to hear back on what the next step might be.

Great Lakes Legacy Act. We have received a draft of the project agreement, which is between EPA and the Waterway Management District. We've not received the scope of work, which is part of that agreement. However, Ellen and I have started our review of the agreement, and hope to have a draft for the board's consideration at the next board meeting.

Strategic planning. Significant

progress has transpired since our last board meeting.

We met on Friday, July 19th, to do the what's called the SWOT analysis, S-W-O-T. We then met on Saturday, August 10th, for the strategic planning retreat. There have been some very fruitful discussions and ideas that have surfaced from the process. My sincere thanks to the board for their participation in the process. And Praxis is here today to give us a report on the current status.

Our software installation and first training session with Keystone was held August 15th.

And we hope to be fully using the software within a few weeks.

on their canal boom assessment. They've also submitted an invoice for their services, which has been forwarded to BP, per the contract.

Dredging observation tour. As the board may recall, we've tried various times this dredging season to set up a dredging observation tour. And if it wasn't equipment issues, it was weather-related issues. And since the dredging has concluded for this year, we'll try again next year. And I'd like to thank the Corps for their cooperation and efforts in trying to schedule something.

Ongoing meetings I've been attending on behalf of the District. Canal oil response meetings, the BP biweekly meetings and the Corps contractor dredging project meetings, which I assume will halt until we get close to the next dredging season.

2014 draft budget. I'll be working on that in the next few weeks. And I hope to have a meeting with the finance committee in the near future.

The website. I received an offline sample of our website, and working with the assigned rep on finetuning the layout and the information on the website. I hope that we'll be online before our next meeting.

The Grand Cal Project remediation.

As I mentioned earlier, I attended the July 23rd public meeting on the Grand Cal River remediation project.

Because of the overlap with the Great Lakes Legacy Act project scope that we recently submitted and this project, they offered a tour of their remediation project, which I accepted and participated in on August 9th.

There's been some progress on real estate easement research for the properties owned by the District. I'll let Attorney Gregory give us an update on that.

1	Indy Boulevard parkway improvement.
2	I've submitted the letter, the response letter, to the
3	city on the beautification of the Indy Boulevard
4	parkway. Need input from the city as far as what
5	landscaping rock they'd like to use. So we're hoping to
6	get a boilerplate access agreement from the Corps so
7	that work can be done by them.
8	And that concludes my report.
9	MR. FEKETE: Any question for Mr.
10	Trevino?
11	(NO RESPONSE).
12	MR. FEKETE: The next order is the
13	approval of the board meeting notes for July 17th.
14	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
15	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to
16	accept. Do we have a second?
17	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
18	MR. FEKETE: We'll take a voice
19	vote. All in approval signify by saying yes.
20	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes").
21	MR. FEKETE: Any objections?
22	(NO RESPONSE).
23	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, the notes
24	are accepted.
25	The accounting consultant report.

MR. TREVINO: Yeah, Mr. Tim Anderson wasn't able to make it today. If the board would like, I could give a quick summary of it. If you look at the budget report, it kind of summarizes our spending to date compared to the budget. And that's the last sheet. MR. FEKETE: Yes, it's a spreadsheet. MR. TREVINO: So as you can see, all the budget line items are still under budget, including the annual budget. Any unusual accounting MR. FEKETE: type things come up in the past month? MR. TREVINO: Just one. It turned out that a check that was approved and sent out to the Post-Tribune a few months ago, the Post-Tribune contacted us and said they haven't received it. we're going to do a stop payment. We're assuming it's lost. We're working through that process to stop payment on it and reissue a new check. So Tim Anderson is handling that process, making sure that it's

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you. The next item is the accounts payable voucher register.

MR. TREVINO: Yeah. You have in your packet the voucher for board consideration. All

the items on there are --1 2 MR. LOPEZ: On 8-21, what's that, 3 (inaudible) forms? 4 MR. TREVINO: Those are forms that are needed for accounting purposes. They also -- I don't remember exactly what was included, but some of the common items are purchase orders, the checks that are used. 9 MR. LOPEZ: We use them or -- you 10 said they're for accounting, right? 11 MR. TREVINO: Yeah, they're used by -- they're the necessary forms which are used by 12 Clifton, Larson, Allen for our accounting needs. 13 MR. LOPEZ: So we buy the forms that 14 15 he uses? 16 MR. TREVINO: Yes. If I remember 17 right, that was part of the --18 MR. LOPEZ: Shouldn't he be paying 19 for those? 20 MR. TREVINO: Well, that came up before, but it's my understanding that per the agreement 21 22 that those were items that we would buy. I could look 23 into that further. 24 MR. LOPEZ: We don't want to get

nickel and dimed again. Could you find out?

25

1	MR. TREVINO: Yes.
2	MR. FEKETE: I will entertain a
3	motion to approve the accounts payable voucher.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.
5	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
6	MR. JONES: Second.
7	MR. FEKETE: I'll have a roll call.
8	Ray Lopez?
9	MR. LOPEZ: Abstain.
10	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
11	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert? Henry
13	Rodriguez?
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
15	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
16	MR. JONES: Yes.
17	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, yes. Rich
18	Gomez? Motion is approved with 4 yeses and 1
19	abstention.
20	Strategic planning status report.
21	Fernando, did you want to introduce?
22	MR. TREVINO: Yes. As I mentioned
23	in my report, there's been a lot of work done in regards
24	to the strategic planning process. And the consultant,
25	Praxis, is in attendance today to give us an update.

MR. BANAS: And if you don't mind,
I'll stand. Broke my hip, it's just nice to stand.

MR. TREVINO: Can you introduce

yourself, please?

MR. BANAS: Sure. My name's Dennis Banas, I'm president and CEO of Praxis Strategies and Solutions. We're the company that facilitated your strategic plan. And you guys can introduce yourselves.

(Inaudible).

MR. BANAS: Just as an overview, we approached the strategic planning process somewhat as a research process. We did an environmental scan for you. We did interviews with stakeholders. We did focus groups with community members and residents. We really tried to get a handle on the users and their perspectives, interviewed board members.

We came in with a set of domains that we asked you to address in terms of the way you want it to be. We submitted a final -- not a final draft, but an initial draft to Fernando. You should be seeing it shortly. And where we are right now in the process is pretty much at the end. We've crafted a new mission for you. You have a set of, you know, a vision statement. We have a set of goals and objectives and a series of benchmarks over the course of years for you to

see if you can achieve.

The fundamental concept that really makes the strategic plan a workable plan, is that you, the East Chicago Waterway Management District, sit at the center of stakeholders, all of whom have intersecting strategic plans, and all of whom see you as being critical conveners of discussions and planning, and mediators of their strategic plans.

So really for you, the strategy is to continue to drive these strategic plans in such a way that you are having an impact on the waterway, the users and the residents. Really leveraging your position in the middle of all of these plans to drive a positive agenda for the City of East Chicago. So that's the key concept.

We're closing out our work. And what we need from you at this point is really to look at the strategic plan and give us any feedback that you have on it so that we can incorporate that feedback and give you a final draft that you can then adopt and approve and begin using.

Our contract calls for us to follow up with you, and that's really what I wanted to talk to you about this evening is the follow-up. Now, you're not paying for the follow-up; that's part of the

contract. There's no new contract, no new fees here. But we feel it's very important as consultants to sit down with you occasionally to ask you where you are in your strategic plan. We find that that keeps people honest and accountable, and drives the plan forward.

We also feel it's very important to be accessible to you, so that if you have questions of us, or if you just need advice, just want to talk about something, you can feel free to call us in and sit us down, and we'll give you our best thinking on any particular issue, within the context of the strategic plan that we've crafted for you.

We think that that follow-up is really valuable to our clients. And again, we like it because we get to see what you're actually doing as a consequence of our work with you. Sometimes you just give people a strategic plan, they don't want to talk to you anymore. And you shrug your shoulders and you wonder, gee, what are they doing? So we like the follow-up and we think it's important. And you should look at it as free consulting hours.

We'll provide that follow-up for a year. And if you don't call us, we will call you. If we don't hear from you at least quarterly, we'll get on the phone and ask how you're doing and come in and talk

to either the entire board, or to Fernando, just to benchmark where you are and what progress you've made. And also to talk about whether or not this strategic plan needs any finetuning. Because what you all must remember is, that a plan is just that, it is a plan, it is a roadmap. And you may, as you're following the road, hit a detour. That's not necessarily a bad thing. But it's good to occasionally raise the issue of not only where are you in the plan, but what has come up and what may impact on the plan.

I hope you're into that and amenable to that. Again, I like the idea of follow-up. It's very satisfying to consultants to see their work actually being used. And we will not write a strategic plan without saying to you, we're here for at least a year. After that, you have to pay us again, okay?

So that's really what we're looking to do. You'll get a draft, make notes, comments, call us if you want. Get everything back to Fernando, who will feed it back to us. We'll do the final copy for you, and then leave you alone for a little while so that you can absorb it and feel your way around the plan, and then start the feedback.

Now, I have to say -- and I'm not looking to be obsequious here -- but we've enjoyed this

immensely. Our entire staff has enjoyed this project immensely. It was not only new and different for us, but you, all the stakeholders and everyone else, were just phenomenally cooperative and gracious. We don't often get that; we really don't. Sometimes you have to engage a strategic planning process with people kicking and screaming. Come on, we're doing this. This was just fun and engaging and intellectually stimulating. So thanks for the opportunity. And if you have any questions, I'd be glad to answer them. We really did have fun, thank you.

MR. FEKETE: Well, speaking on behalf of the board and myself, I personally want to thank you for the effort that you put into it and the time that you spent with us. And we're looking forward to your work product and certainly will be fully engaged, and see no reason why there wouldn't be a continuing interaction.

MR. BANAS: Just remember the next time though that you bring us in, you're buying the coffee, the drinks and the food.

MR. FEKETE: Okay, very good. Thank you very much.

Next order is the Harris Trust report.

1 MR. ALONZO: Good afternoon, David Alonzo, BMO Harris Bank. 3 MR. FEKETE: How are you doing? Haven't seen you in awhile. 5 MR. ALONZO: Family stuff, got a little one. 6 7 MR. FEKETE: Wonderful. MR. ALONZO: Wife's at her new job. 8 But thanks, Fernando, for filling in for me. 10 So I'm going to run through the 11 report here, standard report. First page really just goes through the historical values since 1997, and also 12 the distributions from 1997 up until this past year. 13 Looking at page 2, the value of the 14 15 account as of 8-14-2013 is \$6,148,261. That was a 16 positive difference from our last meeting of \$6,907. And then year-to-date we've taken out \$236,975. Overall, 17 we've taken out \$18,021,254 since 1997. 19 The third page is going to give us 20 the breakdown of where the money is currently at. You 21 keep approximately half a million dollars in the money 22 market account for liquidity; that's at \$553,682. 23 jump in the value was from some interest that has been 24 credited since our meeting. Some of the CDs have just

paid out some interest, so that's why we saw the jump of

25

approximately \$1,600.

One thing that I highlighted here in yellow was Fernando mentioned the investment committee meeting -- or a finance committee meeting that might be coming up. We'll want to discuss what's highlighted there in yellow. We do have a 1.5 million dollar CD that would mature in October. Right now, the interest rate's a half percent. We'll go through a couple ideas for that money and we'll see what's available for interest rates at that time.

And the bottom there just gives us a little breakdown of how the total trust is broken up.

One thing I did not update here, if you notice, where it's highlighted in green on the bottom where the total is. I missed that before I printed these all off, and just figured I'd make note on that as far as the values go. I'm sorry, it is updated.

As far as where rates are right now, with the CD coming up here soon, a 2-year treasury right now is paying .379. Our 13-month CD right now is paying .35. So of course right there, we're better off doing 13 months as opposed to 2 years. In the past we have done a 5-year CD, which in hindsight has really paid off. A couple years has already passed. That interest rate right now was at 2.65, and that's going to be

coming due in 2 years. If we start to look at doing another 5-year option for maybe a portion of it. Right now, treasuries are about 1.6, and our 5-year CD is at .85. So definitely in that position, treasuries are starting to look a little bit more favorable long term. Short term, CDs are still the better choice.

And when that meeting comes up, I can show where rates are, what we want to do at that point too.

Is there any questions at all on the report?

MR. LOPEZ: At that meeting you'll
bring some recommendations, right?

MR. ALONZO: Yeah, I'll bring some recommendations, show you guys where rates are. Of course, we want to make sure we know where money might be used and if we can afford to go out long term with it, 5 years being the maximum. Sometimes it might be best to break that up a little bit.

MR. LOPEZ: You mentioned one, that 13 months, was it 1739?

MR. ALONZO: It's .375 -- or I'm sorry -- .35. For 13 months is .35.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

Army Corps of Engineers report, Mr. Nguyen.

2

1

MR. NGUYEN: Mike Nguyen, Army Corps 3 of Engineers. Here to give the briefing for the Indiana

Harbor and Canal project.

2014 dredging.

5

6

7

8

9

Dredging was completed on August 5th for this calendar year. Contractor will resume dredging in the spring of 2014. So once we have an idea, we'll issue another public meeting to inform the public about the upcoming

For the dredging operation.

10

11

12

13

For the retrofit project. Majority of the work on the main entrance ramp repair was completed on August 1st. Still need some electrical rerouting of the gates.

15

16

17

14

The Corps has received bids for the additional extraction well, well point work. And we're currently negotiating with the contractor on the price.

18

19

20

For the parkway improvement and the stabilizer, we're still developing the scope, working with Fernando on some material to be used on the parkway.

21 22

For the permits. The Corps is still gathering information for the TSCA permits.

23

24

25

And the oil boom configuration. BP has reinstalled the oil boom across the canal on August

2 And the Corps is still working with 3 Fernando and Ellen regarding the work in-kind documentation and the PPA. And that's all I have. 5 MS. LOPEZ: This is a question for 6 information. When you guys stop the dredging and we 7 start over again, we have to go through a public 8 meeting, or just extending the contract? 9 MR. NGUYEN: This contract is basically a 5-year contract. So dredging will occur 10 11 every year. So this is the second year of the contract, 12 we're going to award the option for third year, and 13 after that we have 2 more years. So we're just 14 notifying the public that dredging is going to start. 15 There may be some impacts to the Indianapolis bridge, 16 and sort of an instruction. 17 MR. LOPEZ: So right now, you go to 18 a certain point and you stop? 19 MR. NGUYEN: Yes. 20 MR. LOPEZ: It's not 6 months, 5

13th, after dredging was completed.

MR. NGUYEN: Yes, depending on the

funds. So this year --

months, it's how much dredging you do?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOPEZ: On the funds or on what
you dredge?

20

MR. NGUYEN: Funds dictate how much 1 2 we dredge. So if Congress gets their act together in 3 114. MR. JONES: I'd like to continue on 4 that question. So basically we do have an annual fund 5 6 that's approved by the federal government every year for 7 this process, am I correct? 8 MR. NGUYEN: We put in the request, 9 and depending on the president and the Congress budget, 10 they could choose to reduce it, or give us more. MR. JONES: The initial bid was for 11 5 years with 3 years optional? 12 13 MR. NGUYEN: It's 1 year and 4 14 option years. 15 MR. JONES: Do you look at some of 16 the I guess value cost on trade work? Does it shift 17 from year to year? 18 MR. NGUYEN: No. The contract has 19 to put in the price for all 5 years. So when we 20 exercise the option, we already know what the price is, 21 the unit price each year. 22 MR. JONES: I totally understand. 23 Sometimes from year 1 to year 5 some of those unit costs

might be a lot cheaper, or more, than it was 5 years

24

25

earlier.

1 MR. NGUYEN: Correct. The way the government advertised the contract, it's basically the lowest bidder, qualified and lowest bidder, will get the contract. So say if the economy does go in the wrong direction, other contractors are hungrier, they could outbid the next contract. 6 MR. JONES: But you understand my question? MR. NGUYEN: Right, I understand. MR. JONES: That's the cost of 11 living, those prices can change from year to year. MR. NGUYEN: Yes. MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Our attorney's report, Ms. Gregory. MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory with Ellen Gregory Law. A lot of the things Fernando and Mike have already mentioned. But a couple of the legal matters that we've been working on include the CDF. Waiting back from the Army Corps with information on past costs through the present for the construction of the CDF and the O and M for the last year.

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

share.

For the PCA revisions. We are

reconciling and providing information on the local cost

waiting to hear back from the Corps on their review of the latest draft that we had sent on the new language for the PPA. We had left a message for Kim yesterday just to follow up, and I'm sure we'll hear from her soon.

And the real estate issues, Fernando and I have been working with Municipal Real Estate

Advisers to pull together title information and full information on easements for all the properties owned by the Waterway Management District, in particular with concern related to the south parcels. And work on the south parcel is going to begin shortly for investigation. We want to make sure we have all the easements identified, pipeline easements and such.

And then also, Municipal Real Estate Advisers is going to be helping us with some of the issues related to claims of Robert Fedler (phonetics), LLC, on a parcel that's part of the CDF.

The user fees, Fernando had mentioned that we're coordinating with the attorney general's office, both on having them help us enforce against non-payers for user fees. And also providing us guidance on the Waterway Management District's authority to impose interest for late payments.

And the Great Lakes Legacy Act,

Fernando and I are reviewing a draft project agreement that's been provided by USEPA. And hope to have the statement of work from Scott Ireland at USEPA so we can move forward on negotiating the language of the project agreement. And that's all I have.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Ms.

Gregory?

## (NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

Any new business to come before the board?

## (NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Any other business?

MR. JONES: I've got a question.

Where are we at with the website? Anything moving with the website?

MR. TREVINO: Yeah. I just received an offline daft of the website, meaning that I can access it, but it's got a user ID and a password. So we've got a draft. I'm just working with the gentleman from the state assigned to help me out to formalize it and structure it to a point where we're comfortable with it.

We plan to have on the website, other links that are affiliated with the East Chicago Waterway Management District. There's 2 from the Army

Corps. One, it's an Army Corps website; the other is affiliated with the contractor, the air monitoring data. And we've got 3 or 4 from IDEM related to the Grand Cal remediation project and several others. So it's a good start. We need to make sure we get, for instance, all the meeting notes downloaded and have it structured where we're okay with going officially online. So we're probably a couple weeks away.

So when I get that, I'll email it to the board and all the other stakeholders with the links. So that's my plan right now.

MR. LOPEZ: It's going to have this logo?

MR. TREVINO: If they could copy the logo from the artwork, we'd like to have that, yeah.

MR. LOPEZ: I think it would be a good idea if you could come up with the Army website or something? The suggestion you had.

MR. TREVINO: Well, his suggestion was to see if the Army Corps had an overhead picture of the canal and the river.

MR. LOPEZ: To be added to this.

MR. TREVINO: Right. Well, they've asked for some artwork to be part of the homepage. And my thoughts right now is to have an aerial photo of the

entire canal and the river highlighted, because that's what we're all about is the East Chicago waterways. So I thought that might be a good idea. And I think Henry mentioned to see if the Corps had something that we might be able to use for that artwork, so we'll be hearing on that.

MR. JONES: My final question was, are we going to try to maybe set up a social media account with maybe Twitter or Facebook, just get some key news out? I see a lot of government agencies are doing that now, have Twitter accounts and they send out key news.

MR. TREVINO: And we will be exploring that. One of the things that have come out of the strategic planning process is the need to communicate with the public and stakeholders. And the way I visualize that happening is to actually put a communication plan together where Twitter or Facebook may be a component of that plan. So we'll be exploring those opportunities.

MR. FEKETE: Any other questions, comments?

(NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Public comments?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just have

1	one question, since you mentioned the website.
2	MR. FEKETE: Yes.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And linking
4	it. Could you possibly link it to the Cal project? Is
5	that an option?
6	MR. TREVINO: Certainly.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have a
8	website and a Facebook.
9	MR. TREVINO: Yeah, after the
10	meeting if you could share that with me, that would be
11	great.
12	MR. FEKETE: Any other comments from
13	the public?
14	(NO RESPONSE).
15	MR. FEKETE: Our next board meeting
16	is September 18th at 5:00 p.m. at this location. I'll
17	entertain a motion for adjournment.
18	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.
19	MR. FEKETE: Second?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
21	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by
22	saying yes.
23	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes").
24	MR. FEKETE: We are adjourned.
25	************

1 STATE OF INDIANA SS: COUNTY OF LAKE 2 3 4 5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 6 I, MARY L. SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter and Notary 7 Public in and for the State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I have transcribed from my stenographic notes the above-entitled meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors Meeting. Said notes contain all of the statements made during the meeting, to the best of my ability. 10 I further certify that the foregoing transcript, as prepared by me, is a full, true, correct and complete copy of said stenographic notes made in the above-12 entitled meeting. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 13th day of September, 2013. 14 15 SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25